# ABO Genotype and Risk of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss in Carriers of FVL in Palestinian Population

Lamia'a S. Saqer

Medical Sciences Department-University College of Science and Technology Khan Younis, Palestine Email: lamiaa1912 [AT] yahoo.com

ABSTRACT---- The aim of this study was to define ABO genotypes in the Palestinian population and to assess the impact of ABO blood group genotypes and FV Leiden and we investigated whether predisposition to RPL was higher in non-OO genotype carriers than in OO genotype carriers. The study included 209 subjects suffered from RPL : 85 FV Leiden carriers as case group and 124 as a control group. Genotyping to 5 common alleles of ABO blood groups was performed by allele specific polymerase chain reaction with specific primers (AS-PCR). The results showed that there was no association between non-OO blood group genotypes and the risk of RPL(odds ratio [OR] 1.4, 95% confidence interval [95% CI] 0.74-2.6). Comparison of OO genotype and non-OO genotype carriers between case and control subjects yielded a higher OR in A1A1 / A1A2 blood group genotypes (OR: 2.2, 95% CI: 0.6-8.19), followed by A1O1 / A1O2 (OR:1.6,95% CI: 0.77-3.55) B1B1/ B1O1/ B1O2 (OR: 1.3, 95% CI: 0.6-2.76) and A1B/A2B (OR: 1.2, 95% CI: 0.33-4.00) which did not reach statistical significance. The results of ABO genotyping showed no statistically significant difference in the frequency of OO and non-OO genotype carriers between the case group who had FVL and the control group (OR: 1.4, 95% CI: 0.74-2.6).

Keywords - Recurrent pregnancy loss, factor V Leiden, ABO genotypes

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The ABO gene which located on chromosome 9[1], codes for several glycosyltransferases, a1,3-N-acetyl galactosaminyl-transferase and  $\alpha$  1,3-D- galactosyl transferase that add sugar residues to the H antigen thus forming the A and B antigens respectively [2-4]. The presence of the A and B blood group antigens, expressed on red blood cells and other cells and molecules within the body, has been associated with susceptibility to diseases like cancer, leukemia, cardiovascular disease and risk of both arterial and venous thrombosis. In 1943, Levine had identified ABO incompatibility as a cause of early abortions and stillbirths [5], many studies have been published reporting the recurrent pregnancy loss due to blood group incompatibility. Recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) is the syndrome that causes repeated miscarriage, stillbirth, and premature delivery impairing the ability to have a live birth [6]. Most RPL are due to chromosomal abnormalities, and other conditions which may favor the production of spontaneous abortion such as pelvic infections, diabetes, thyroid disease and thrombophilia [7]. Thrombophilia was identified as a major cause of RPL, after chromosomal abnormalities with a rate of up to 40%, especially in the first half of pregnancy [8]. The thrombophilias are a number of prothrombotic factors, which can either be inherited or acquired. The inherited thrombophilias include activated protein C resistance due to factor V Leiden (FVL) mutation, protein S deficiency, protein C deficiency, antithrombin III deficiency, prothrombin mutation and hyperhomocysteinaemia [9,10]. Factor V Leiden (FVL) mutation is the most common cause has been implicated as risk factors of hereditary thrombophilias which in turn can result in placentation. Most studies indicated an increased risk of thrombosis associated with the non-O blood group [11,12]. Usually blood group phenotypes are used to study the association between blood group and venous thrombosis. Blood group genotypes may be more informative since genotypes can distinguish between heterozygous and homozygous carriers of A, B and O alleles and between  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  alleles. The association of ABO blood groups and diseases resulting in coagulation impairment and venous thrombus formation was first described by Jick et al [13].

Risk of thrombosis has been shown to be increased with higher levels of vWF and FVIII, and it is through the effect of ABO antigens on vWF clearance that *ABO* genotypes are hypothesized to affect thrombotic risk[14-19]. The ABO blood group has a profound influence on hemostasis, as described by Preston and Barr in 1964 [20].

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

To study the role of the ABO blood group as a genetic thrombotic risk factor associated with recurrent pregnancy loss. A total of 209 patients suffered from recurrent pregnancy loss recruited from the Islamic University-Genetics Laboratory. After viewing the medical file for all subjects; 124 were negative for FVL which used as control group ,while 85 were carriers for FVL mutation as case group. The study was approved by the local ethics committee and signed consent was obtained from all participants. Genomic DNA was extracted and purified from whole blood .A four separate-reaction multiplex allele specific polymerase chain reaction (AS-PCR) was used to determine the *ABO* genotypes in both groups , case and control as previously described[21] were take place in University College of Science and Technology .

### Data and statistical analysis

Results were interpreted and the *ABO* genotypes were determined according to the collective pattern of PCR products that reported by L. Saqer and F. Sharif (2013).

The odds ratio (OR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated.

# 3. RESULTS

# **ABO blood group frequency**

This study showed that group A was the most frequent blood group : 37.90% versus 44.71% for control and case respectively (Table1).

# ABO Alleles and Genotypes

The method used for blood group genotyping differentiates  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $O_1$ ,  $O_2$ , and B alleles. We identified all possible genotypes except the *cis-ABO*<sub>1</sub> genotype,  $O_1$  was the most frequent allele among studied groups ( control = 124 ,case = 85 ). The distribution of *ABO* genotypes and alleles in the groups studied are shown in Table 2.

The frequencies of the five alleles in the our sample population were :  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  alleles

: 0.399 and 0.177, respectively, while  $A_1$ : 0.161,  $A_2$ : 0.089, B: 0.174 in control group. In case group, the frequencies of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , B, alleles are :0.218, 0.082, 0.182 respectively, and 0.259 for both  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  alleles .(Table3)

### 00 and non-00 genotype in study population

The comparison between non- OO and OO carriers showed that genotypes with A and B alleles had elevation in FVL carriers. OR was 2.2 (95% CI: 0.6-8.19) for  $A_1A_1 / A_1A_2$  genotypes, 1.6 (95% CI: 0.77-3.55) for  $A_1O_1 / A_1O_2$ , 1.3 (95% CI: 0.60-2.76) for  $B_1B_1/$ 

 $B_1O_1/B_1O_2$  and 1.20 (95% CI:0.33-4.00) for  $A_1B/A_2B$ .  $A_2$  homozygous ( $A_2A_2$ ) and  $A_2O$ 

combinations  $(A_2O_1 \text{ and } A_2O_2)$  had low odds ratio (OR: 0.86, 95% CI: 0.30 - 2.46) with no any statistically significance .(Table4)

### 4. DISCUSSION

Numerous studies have shown the influence of the ABO blood group on the risk for venous thromboembolic disease; individuals with A, B or AB blood groups are at a higher risk than individuals of blood group O[7,22]. ABO allele  $O_1$  was more frequently in the control group than in the cases. The distribution of blood group alleles in the control group was not significantly different to the distribution within the Palestinian population found by L. Saqer and F. Sharif (2013).

The result of our study suggest that no increased risk estimate for the non *OO* blood group [OR 1.4 95% CI= 0.74-2.60] of RPL compared with *OO* blood group carriers. The analysis of non *OO* carriers genotype showed that the highest frequency genotype among *FVL* carriers and control were:  $A_1O_1 / A_1O_2$  (29.41%) genotype followed by  $B_1B_1/B_1O_1/B_1O_2$  (25.88%). Therefore, the significant risk of pregnancy loss was higher

in the carriers of  $A_1$  alleles than in those carrying B alleles in FVL carriers. Based on the study results,  $A_2$  allele had the lowest frequency among study population, as demonstrated in table3.

In FV Leiden carriers our data indicate that carriers of blood group alleles  $A_1$  and B have low association in risk of early pregnancy loss with OR:1.5 (95% CI: 0.89-2.5) and OR:1.03 (95% CI: 0.5-2.1) respectively. Our results suggest that the combination of non-OO blood group with FVL does not significantly increase the risk of RPL. In contrast, our results confirm the significant contribution of FVL and early RPL which agreement with other studies shown in table 5. Some case-control studies did not show an association between FVL and RPL [23]. The carrier of FVL have small effect on the APC sensitivity and may lead to increase thrombosis risk that result to venous stasis may occur at the end of the first trimester, due to enhanced compliance of the vessel walls by a hormonal effect [23].To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the effect of ABO genotype on RPL in FVL carriers.

In conclusion, there is no clear evidence that the ABO genotypes have any impact on RPL in carriers of the FVL mutation.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to the technical staff at the Genetics Diagnosis Laboratory of the Islamic University of Gaza, especially Mr. Mohammad Ashour. This study was conducted with funding from the Research Council of the Ministry of Education and Higher Education ,Gaza Strip - Palestine .

## 6. REFERENCES

[1] Yamamoto F. Molecular genetics of the ABO histo-blood group system. Vox Sang. 1995;69:1.

[2] Yamamoto F, Clausen H, White T, Marken J, Hakamori S. Molecular genetic basis of the histoblood group system. Nature. 1990;345:229-233.

[3] Yamamoto F, McNeill PD, Hakamori S. Genomic organization of human histo- blood group ABO genes. Glycobiology. 1995;5:51-58.

[4] Bennett EP, Steffensen R, Clausen H, Weghuis DO, van Kessel AG. Genomic cloning of the human histo-blood group ABO locus. Biochem Biophys Res Comm. 1995;206:318-325.

[5] Malekasgar A. ABO blood group prevalence in spontaneously repeated abortion.Turk Journal Haematol 2004;21: 181-187.

[6] Saito S. The causes and treatment of recurrent pregnancy loss . Journal of the Japan Medical Association 2009;52: 97–102.

[7] Mierla D., Szmal C., Neagos D., Cretu R., Stoian V., Jardan D. Association of Prothrombin (A20210G) and Factor V Leiden (A506G) with Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. Maedica, 2012; 7 :222-226.

[8] Brenner B, Sarig G, Weiner Z, Younis J,Blumenfeld Z, Lanir N. Thrombophilic polymorphisms are common in women with fetal loss without apparent cause. Journal Thromb Haemost 1999; 82:6-9.

[9] Carrington B, Sacks G, Regan L. Recurrent miscarriage: pathophysiology and outcome. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 2005; 17: 591-597.

[10] Doyle NM, Monga M . Thromboembolic disease in pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 2004; 31: 319-344.

[11] Jenkins PV, O'Donnell JS. ABO blood group determines plasma von Willebrand factor levels: a biologic function after all? Transfusion.2006; 46(10):1836-1844.

[12] Tregouet DA, Heath S, Saut N, Andreani C, Schved JF, Pernod G, Galan P, Drouet L Zelenika D, Vague I

,Alessi MC ,Tiret L ,Lathrop M, Emmerich J, Morange PE Common susceptibility alleles are unlikely to contribute as strongly as the FV and ABO loci to VTE risk: results from a GWAS approach. Blood.2009; 113(21):5298-5303.

[13] Jick H, Slone D, Westerholm B, Inman WH, Vessey MP, Shapiro S, et al. Venous thromboembolic disease and ABO blood type. A cooperative study. Lancet. 1969;1:539-542.

[14] Crawley JT, Lane DA, WoodwardM, RumleyA, LoweGD. Evidence that high von Willebrand factor and low ADAMTS-13 levels independently increase the risk of a non-fatal heart attack. Journal Thromb Haemost 2008; 6: 583–588.

[15] Folsom AR, RosamondWD, Shahar E, Cooper LS, Aleksic N, Nieto FJ, Rasmussen ML, Wu KK. Prospective study of markers of hemostatic function with risk of ischemic stroke. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. Circulation 1999; 100: 736–742.

[16] Kraaijenhagen RA, in\_t Anker PS, Koopman MM, Reitsma PH, Prins MH, van den Ende A, Buller HR. High plasma concentration of factor VIIIc is a major risk factor for venous thromboembolism. Journal Thromb Haemost 2000; 83: 5–9.

[17] Ohira T, Cushman M, Tsai MY, Zhang Y, Heckbert SR, Zakai NA, Rosamond WD, Folsom AR. ABO blood group, other risk factors and incidence of venous thromboembolism: the Longitudinal Investigation of Thromboembolism Etiology (LITE). Journal Thromb Haemost 2007; 5: 1455–1461.

[18] Tanis B, Algra A, van der Graaf Y, Helmerhorst F, Rosendaal F. Procoagulant factors and the risk of myocardial infarction in young women. Eur Journal Haematol 2006; 77: 67–73.

[19] Tracy RP, Arnold AM, Ettinger W, Fried L, Meilahn E, Savage P. The relationship of fibrinogen and factors VII and VIII to incident cardiovascular disease and death in the elderly: results from the cardiovascular health study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 1999; 19: 1776–1783.

[20] Preston AE, Barr A. The plasma concentration of factor VIII in the normal population. Br Journal Haematol 1964;10:238–245.

[21] Lamia'a S. Saqer and Fadel A. Sharif Allele and Genotype Frequencies of the ABO Blood Group System in a Palestinian Population .Asian Journal of Pharmacy, Nursing and Medical Sciences 2013; 01: 98 - 103.

[22] Wautrecht, J.C., Galle, C., Motte, S., Dereume, J.P. & Dramaix, M. The role of ABO blood group in the incidence of deep vein thrombosis. Thrombosis and Haemostasis.1998; 79, 688–689.

[23] Parand, A., Zolghardi, J., Nezam, M., Afrasiabi, A., Haghpanah, S. and Karimi, M. Inherited Thrombophilia and Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal 2013;15.

Blood group	Control (n=124)	Case (n=85)
A	47 (37.90%)	38 (44.71%)
В	32 (25.81%)	22 (25.88%)
AB	8 (6.45%)	5 (5.88%)
0	37 (29.84%)	20 (23.53%)

Table 1 : The frequency of ABO blood group among case and control

 Table 2: Distribution of ABO genotypes .

Blood Group	Genotype Control N=124		Case N=85	
A	$A_i A_i$	3(2.40%)	2(2.35%)	
	$A_I O_I$	15(12.10%)	12(14.12%)	
	$A_1O_2$	12(9.70%)	13(15.29%)	
	$A_1A_2$	2(1.60%)	4(4.70%)	
	$A_2A_2$	2(1.60%)	2(2.35%)	
	$A_2O_1$	11(8.90%)	2(2.35%)	
	$A_2O_2$	2(1.60%)	3(3.53%)	
3	$B_{I}B_{I}$	3(2.40%)	4(4.70%)	
	$B_I O_I$	22(17.7%)	9(10.60%)	
	$B_1O_2$	7(5.60%)	9(10.60%)	
AB	$A_{I}B$	5(4.00%)	4(4.70%)	
	$A_2B$	3(2.40%)	1(1.18%)	
С	$O_1 O_1$	16(12.90%)	5(5.89%)	
	$O_1 O_2$	19(15.30%)	11(12.94%)	
	$O_2 O_2$	2(1.60%)	4(4.70%)	

Table 3: Distribution of ABO Alleles

Allele	Control	Case
$A_1$	40(0.161)	37(0.218)
$A_2$	22(0.089)	14(0.082)
В	43(0.174)	31(0.182)
$O_{I}$	99(0.399)	44(0.259)
$O_2$	44(0.177)	44(0.259)

ABO genotype	No. of case(% n=85	) No. of control(%) $n=124$	OR	95% CI	P-value
$O_1 O_1 / O_1 O_2 / O_2 O_2$	20(23.53)	37(29.84)	1*		
Non- 00	65(76.47)	87(70.16)	1.4	0.74-2.60	0.3
$A_1A_1/A_1A_2$	6(7.06)	5(4.03)	2.2	0.60-8.19	0.2
$A_1O_1 / A_1O_2$	25(29.41)	28(22.58)	1.6	0.77-3.55	0.19
$A_2A_2/A_2O_1/A_2O_2$	7(8.24)	15(12.10)	0.86	0.30 - 2.46	0.78
$B_1B_1/B_1O_1/B_1O_2$	22(25.88)	31(25.0)	1.3	0.60-2.76	0.48
$A_1B/A_2B$	5(5.88)	8(6.45)	1.20	0.33-4.00	0.8
Allele					
$O(O_1 \text{ and } O_2)$	88(0.518)	143(0.576)	1*		
Non $O(A_1, A_2 \text{ and } B)$	82(0.482)	105(0.424)	1.3	0.86-1.90	0.23

# Table 4 : ABO genotypes risk for RPL

\*Reference category