The Relationships among Principal’s Aesthetic Leadership, Teachers’ Social Network and Happiness

Cheng-Ping Chang1*, Jih-Chiang Chen2, Hung-Chih Tsai3

1Department of Education, National University of Tainan, Taiwan
2Department of Education, National University of Tainan, Taiwan
3Department of Finance and Banking, Kunshan University, Taiwan

*Corresponding author’s email: justin23 [AT] mail.nutn.edu.tw

ABSTRACT---- The purposes of this study are to explore: (1) the impact of social network among teachers on teachers’ happiness; (2) the impact of principal’s aesthetic leadership on teachers’ happiness; (3) the contextual moderating effect of principal’s aesthetic leadership between the impacts of social network among teachers on teachers’ happiness. On research method, questionnaire was designed and the objects of this study were the public elementary school teachers within the island of Taiwan. Data analysis was conducted with hierarchical linear modeling for the 1,327 effective questionnaires from 51 schools. The analysis results indicate that: (1) instrumental network among teachers has a positive impact on teachers’ job satisfaction; (2) expressive network among teachers has a positive impact on teachers’ job satisfaction; (3) instrumental network among teachers has a positive impact on teachers’ positive emotion; (4) expressive network among teachers has a positive impact on teachers’ positive emotion; (5) principal’s emotion-pleasure leadership has a positive impact on teachers’ job satisfaction; (6) principal’s emotion-pleasure leadership has a positive impact on teachers’ positive emotion; (7) principal’s emotion-pleasure leadership has a positive contextual moderating effect between the impact of expressive network among teachers on teachers’ job satisfaction; (8) principal’s emotion-pleasure leadership has a positive contextual moderating effect between the impact of expressive network among teachers on teachers’ positive emotion. This study further proposes some theoretical contribution and practical suggestions for promoting teachers’ happiness.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Happiness is the meaning and purpose of life. By showing interest and concern over happiness issues, people can stimulate positive and aggressive power and make their life happier. Berrocoso, Sanchez, & Dominguez (2013) found that, self-cognition and positive emotions fulfilled by teachers’ professional goals are associated with greater effectiveness in teaching. Therefore, whether teachers at actual teaching sites perceive happiness, job satisfaction, and positive and aggressive attitude is worthy of further investigation.

Teachers are important human resources in educational institutions. This study further investigated the influence of social networks among teachers on their happiness. Pilkington, Windsor, & Crisp (2012) found that, because the effectiveness of support provided by friends accounts for the largest proportion of influence on volunteers’ happiness, support provided by friends and colleagues has the most significant influence on happiness. Therefore, this study used social networks “among teachers” as the independent variable to investigate its influence on teachers’ happiness. In recent years, relevant studies (Chen, Chen, & Li, 2013; Kalshoven & Boon, 2012; Nielsen & Daniels, 2012) have verified the influence of principals’ “leadership style” on teachers’ happiness, reflecting the importance of “leadership style” to teachers’ happiness. Therefore, this study also used principals’ leadership in situational factors as the variable to investigate its influence on teachers’ happiness.

In recent years, except for Simbula (2010), there has been a lack of relevant studies focusing on “relationships among teachers” in school organization to probe into the influence of social network on teachers’ happiness. Therefore,
the first research motivation of this study is to investigate the influence of social networks among teachers on their happiness. Leadership-related studies have investigated the influence of transformational leadership on followers’ happiness (Nielsen & Daniels, 2012), as well as that of servant leadership on followers’ happiness (Chen et al., 2013). However, only a few studies have investigated the relationship between aesthetic leadership and follower’s happiness. In order to reduce the knowledge gap in this regard, the second motivation of this study is to investigate the influence of principals’ aesthetic leadership on teachers’ happiness.

2. LITERATURE ANALYSIS AND HYPOTHESIS PROPOSITION

Research Condition of Relationships among Various Variables and Proposition of Research Hypotheses

Kutek et al. (2011) suggested that social support is the most effective predictor of happiness. Pilkington et al. (2012) proposed that the effectiveness of support provided by friends accounts for the largest proportion of the influence on volunteers’ happiness. Based on the above, teachers’ establishment of social networks among teachers can effectiveness improve their happiness. Therefore, this study proposed H1: Social networks among teachers have a significant and positive influence on their happiness.

Zhu, Devos, & Li (2011) pointed out that leadership builds school culture and affects teachers’ organizational commitment and happiness. Visser, van Knippenberg, van Kleef, & Wisse (2013) suggested that, under the background of leadership cooperation, the process of emotional infection affects psychological happiness. Based on the above, leaders’ behaviors have an influence on followers’ happiness. Therefore, this study proposed H2: Principals’ aesthetic leadership has a significant and positive influence on teachers’ happiness.

Fu, Tsui, Liu, & Li (2010) found that, leaders with a high degree of self-transcendence value focus on the collective nature of visions, and their leadership objective is to improve other people’s happiness. Nielsen & Daniels (2012) argued that, translational leadership triggers the behavior of reward exchange of exchange partners, and followers will imitate the behaviors supported by their leaders to provide support for their peers. The social support from group level will improve followers’ happiness. Based on the above, leaders’ behavior shaping can strengthen the influence of social networks among followers on their happiness. Therefore, this study proposed H3: Principals’ aesthetic leadership has a significant and positive context moderating effect on the influence of social networks among teachers on their happiness.

3. RESEARCH DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

3.1 Research Structure and Hypotheses

Based on the research motivations and research objectives mentioned above, this study proposed the following research hypotheses:

H1: Social networks among teachers have a significant and positive influence on their happiness.
H2: Principals’ leadership has a significant and positive influence on teachers’ happiness.

Figure 1 Research Structure
H3: Principals’ leadership has a significant and positive context moderating effect on influence of social networks among teachers on their happiness.

3.2. Research Scope, Subjects, and Sampling Method

This study conducted a questionnaire survey to collect data. Therefore, 240 questionnaires were expected to be distributed, and the pretest samples were selected using the stratified random cluster sampling method. This study selected the teachers in public elementary schools in the northern, central, southern, and eastern Taiwan as the subjects. A total of 8 elementary schools were selected as the study sites, and 30 questionnaires were distributed to each of them. 210 valid questionnaires were returned, with a valid return rate of 87.50%. In terms of the formal questionnaire, this study adopted 50/20 principle because the group level of school should be ≧ 50 schools. A total of 60 schools, other than those which received the pretest, were selected. To meet the principle where the individual level should be ≧ 20 people, the number of teachers at each school receiving the questionnaire survey should be ≧ 30 teachers. For the return of questionnaires at each school, more than 20 valid questionnaires completed by teachers in each school were returned from 51 schools, and a total of 1,327 questionnaires were returned, with a valid return rate of 73.72%.

3.3. Research Tools and Their Reliability and Validity

The recommended adequate scale is between 5-point and 9-point. Therefore, this study used 5-point Likert scale for measurement of the questionnaire items. For the subscale on teachers’ happiness, the factor loading of 6 items of factor 1 was .708 to .879, and that of 8 items of factor 2 was .568 to .822. The factor loadings were all >.3 (the standard). The cumulative explained variance was 54.891%. The α value of teachers’ job satisfaction of factor 1 was .905, that of teacher’s positive emotions of factor 2 was .880. For the subscale on social networks among teachers, the factor loading of 6 items of factor 1 was .500 to .842, and that of 7 items of factor 2 was .715 to .845. The factor loadings were all >.3 (the standard). The cumulative explained variance was 55.273%. The α value of instrumental networks among teachers of factor 1 was .847, and that of emotional networks among teachers of factor 2 was .908. For subscale on principals’ aesthetic leadership, the factor loading of 6 items of factor 1 was .740 to .903, while that of 7 items of factor 2 was .565 to .856. The factor loadings were all >.3 (the standard). The cumulative explained variance was 61.825%. The α value of principals’ emotional and harmonious leadership of factor 1 was .927, and that of principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership of factor 2 was .893. Therefore, the validity and reliability of the subscales were good.

4. CONCLUSION

“Instrumental networks among teachers have a positive influence on their job satisfaction.” The higher the instrumental networks among teachers are, the higher their job satisfaction is. “Emotional networks among teachers have a positive influence on their job satisfaction” The higher the emotional networks among teachers are, the higher their job satisfaction is. “Instrumental networks among teachers have a positive influence on their positive emotions.” The higher the instrumental networks among teachers are, the higher their positive emotions are. “Emotional networks among teachers have a positive influence on their positive emotions.” The higher the emotional networks among teachers are, the higher their positive emotions are.

“Principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership has a positive influence on teachers’ job satisfaction.” The higher the principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership is, the higher the teachers’ job satisfaction is. “Principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership has a positive influence on teachers’ positive influence.” The higher the principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership is, the higher the teachers’ positive emotions are.

“Principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership has a positive context moderating effect on the influence of emotional networks among teachers on their job satisfaction.” When emotional networks among teachers have a positive influence on their job satisfaction and the principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership is higher, the positive influence of emotional networks among teachers on their job satisfaction will be strengthened. “Principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership has a positive context moderating effect on the influence of emotional networks among teachers on their positive emotions.” When emotional networks among teachers have a positive influence on their positive emotions and the principals’ emotional and pleasant leadership is higher, the positive influence of emotional networks among teachers on their positive emotions will be strengthened.
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