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#### Abstract

The unsteady three-dimensional Couette flow of a viscous incompressible fluid between two porous flat plates with uniform injection and periodic suction has been investigated. Perturbation technique has been used to obtain approximate solutions for the velocity and temperature fields, skin friction and Nusselt number. The velocity and temperature profiles have been plotted to study the effects of different non-dimensional parameters on them. Increasing mass concentration parameter results in an increase in the main velocity. Increase in the frequency parameter results in flattening of the main velocity profiles. The effects of other non-dimensional parameters have also been studied. Furthermore, skin friction and Nusselt number have been tabulated for different values of the nondimensional parameters.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Dusty Couette flows are a part of many industrial processes including transpiration cooling, filtration and drying. Some of the areas of application of these flows are in oil recovery, aerodynamics, inverted pendulum and geothermal springs [9]. The steady two-dimensional plane Couette flow with transpiration cooling for uniform injection and suction at the porous plates for a clear fluid has been discussed in Eckert [4].

The steady Couette flow plates with transverse sinusoidal injection of the fluid at the stationary plate and the constant suction at the plate in motion have been studied by Singh [10] and have further extended for dusty fluid by Govindarajan et al. [6] and with chemical reaction by Ahmed et al. [1]. The study Couette flow for dusty fluid with heat transfer with an exponential injection at the uniform plate and a constant suction at the stationary plate has been studied by Gireesha et al. [5].

The flow and heat transfer in Couette flow for micro and nano channels have been studied by Jiji and Yazdi [8] and Zhang [11] respectively. Heat transfer in unsteady Couette flow for a dusty gas was first studied by Datta and Mishra [3]. The buoyancy effects in Couette flow were studied by Choi et al. [2].

The application of the transverse sinusoidal injection or suction velocity in the unsteady problem of transpiration cooling for a clear fluid was studied by Guria and Jana [7]. The present work aims to extend this work for dusty fluid with slip boundary condition at the suction plate and natural convection.

## 2. FLOW DESCRIPTION AND GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The flow under investigation has been modelled as an unsteady three-dimensional flow of a viscous, incompressible, dusty fluid between two horizontal porous flat plates separated by a distance ' $d$ ' in a slip flow regime with uniform suction at the stationary plate and periodic suction at the plate in motion. The upper plate is assumed to be the one in motion with uniform velocity $U$ in the direction of the flow. The Cartesian coordinate system is chosen with its origin on the lower stationary plate, $x^{*}$ - axis in the direction of the flow, $y^{*}$ - axis taken perpendicular to the plate and directed into fluid flowing in laminar regime with a uniform free stream velocity $U$ and $z^{*}$ - axis is taken normal to the $x^{*} y^{*}$ - plane.


Figure 1: Couette dusty flow with constant injection and periodic suction at the porous plates
The upper plate is subjected to a constant injection $-V_{0}$ and the lower plate to a transverse sinusoidal time dependent suction velocity distribution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{*} \quad=\quad-\mathrm{V}_{0}\left[1+\varepsilon \cos \left(\frac{\pi \mathrm{z}^{*}}{\mathrm{~d}^{*}}-\mathrm{ct}^{*}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(\ll 1)$ is the amplitude of the suction velocity as shown in Fig 1. The distance between the plates is taken equal to the suction velocity. The slip condition is assumed for the fluid phase and similar slip condition is also assumed for the particle phase.

Denoting dimensional velocity components as $u^{*}, v^{*}$ and $w^{*}$ in the directions $x^{*}, y^{*}$ and $z^{*}$ axes respectively for the fluid phase, $u_{p}^{*}, v_{p}^{*}$ and $w_{p}^{*}$ in the directions $x^{*}, y^{*}$ and $z^{*}$ axes respectively for the particle phase and $T^{*}$ and $T_{p}^{*}$ for the temperature of the fluid and particle phase respectively, the governing equations are given as:

For fluid phase:
$\frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+\frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial z^{*}}$
$=0$
$\frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v^{*} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w^{*} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial z^{*}}$
$=\quad v\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{* 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{* 2}}\right)+g \beta_{T}\left(T^{*}-T_{d}^{*}\right)+\frac{K N_{0}}{\rho}\left(u_{p}^{*}-u^{*}\right)$
$\frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w^{*} \frac{\partial v^{*}}{\partial z^{*}}$
$=\quad v\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{* 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{* 2}}\right)+\frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+\frac{K N_{0}}{\rho}\left(v_{p}^{*}-v^{*}\right)$
$\frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v^{*} \frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w^{*} \frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial z^{*}}$
$=\quad v\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{* 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{* 2}}\right)+\frac{\partial p^{*}}{\partial z^{*}}+\frac{K N_{0}}{\rho}\left(w_{p}^{*}-w^{*}\right)$
$\rho C_{p}\left(\frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v^{*} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w^{*} \frac{\partial T^{*}}{\partial z^{*}}\right)$
$=\quad \kappa\left(\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial y^{* 2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{* 2}}\right)+\frac{\rho_{p} C_{S}}{\Gamma_{T}}\left(v_{p}^{*}-v^{*}\right)$
For particle phase:
$\frac{\partial v_{p}^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+\frac{\partial w_{p}^{*}}{\partial z^{*}}$
$=0$
$\frac{\partial u_{p}^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial u_{p}^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial u_{p}^{*}}{\partial z^{*}} \quad=\quad \frac{K}{m_{p}}\left(u^{*}-u_{p}^{*}\right)$
$\frac{\partial v_{p}^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial v_{p}^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial v_{p}^{*}}{\partial z^{*}} \quad=\quad \frac{K}{m_{p}}\left(v^{*}-v_{p}^{*}\right)$
$\begin{array}{lll}\frac{\partial w_{p}^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial w_{p}^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial w_{p}^{*}}{\partial z^{*}} & =\frac{K}{m_{p}}\left(w^{*}-w_{p}^{*}\right) \\ \frac{\partial T_{p}^{*}}{\partial t^{*}}+v_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial T_{p}^{*}}{\partial y^{*}}+w_{p}^{*} \frac{\partial T_{p}^{*}}{\partial z^{*}} & =\frac{1}{\Gamma_{p}}\left(T^{*}-T_{p}^{*}\right)\end{array}$
where all the symbols have their usual meanings and are given in the Appendix.
The corresponding boundary conditions are:
$u^{*}=L_{1}^{*} \frac{\partial u^{*}}{\partial y^{*}} ; \quad v^{*}=-\mathrm{V}_{0}\left[1+\varepsilon \cos \left(\frac{\pi \mathrm{z}^{*}}{\mathrm{~d}^{*}}-\mathrm{ct}^{*}\right)\right] ; \quad w^{*}=L_{2}^{*} \frac{\partial w^{*}}{\partial y^{*}} ; \quad T^{*}=T_{0}$
$u_{p}^{*}=L_{1}^{*} \frac{\partial u_{p}^{*}}{\partial y^{*}} ; \quad v_{p}^{*}=-\mathrm{V}_{0}\left[1+\varepsilon \cos \left(\frac{\pi \mathrm{z}^{*}}{\mathrm{~d}^{*}}-\mathrm{ct}^{*}\right)\right] ; w_{p}^{*}=L_{2}^{*} \frac{\partial w_{p}^{*}}{\partial y^{*}} ; \quad T_{p}^{*}=T_{0} \quad$ at $\quad y=0$
$u^{*}=U ; v_{p}^{*}=-\mathrm{V}_{0} ; \quad w^{*}=0 ; T^{*}=T_{1}$
$u_{p}^{*}=U ; \quad v_{p}^{*}=-V_{0} ; \quad w_{p}^{*}=0 ; T_{p}^{*}=T_{1} \quad$ at $\quad y=d$
where $L_{1}^{*}, L_{2}^{*}=\left(\frac{2-r}{r}\right) L$ and $L=\mu\left(\frac{\pi}{2 P \rho}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is the mean free path and $r$ is the Maxwell's reflection coefficient.
By introducing the following non-dimensional parameters:
$y=\frac{y^{*}}{d} ; z=\frac{z^{*}}{d} ; t=c t^{*} ; p=\frac{p^{*}}{\rho v_{0}^{2}} ; u=\frac{u^{*}}{U} ; v=\frac{v^{*}}{V_{0}} ; w=\frac{w^{*}}{V_{0}} ; \theta=\frac{T^{*}-T_{d}^{*}}{T_{0}^{*}-T_{d}^{*}} ; \Gamma_{p}=\frac{\Lambda d}{V_{0}} ; u_{p}=\frac{u_{p}^{*}}{U} ; v_{p}=\frac{v_{p}^{*}}{V_{0}} ; w_{p}=\frac{w_{p}^{*}}{V_{0}} ;$
$\theta_{p}=\frac{T_{p}^{*}-T_{d}^{*}}{T_{0}^{*}-T_{d}^{*}} ; \operatorname{Re}=\frac{V_{0} d}{v}$, Reynolds number; $\operatorname{Pr}=\frac{\mu C_{p}}{\kappa}$, Prandtl number; $f=\frac{N_{0} m}{\rho}$, Mass concentration parameter; $h=\frac{L}{d}, \quad$ Slip parameter, $m=\frac{T_{1}^{*}-T_{d}^{*}}{T_{0}^{*}-T_{d}^{*}}$, Temperature parameter; $\quad G r=\frac{g \beta_{T} d\left(T_{0}^{*}-T_{d}^{*}\right)}{U V_{0}}$, Grashof number; $\lambda=\frac{c d^{2}}{v}$,
Frequency parameter ; $\Lambda=\frac{m_{p} V_{0}}{d K}, \quad$ Relaxation time parameter.
The governing equations (2) - (11) can be rewritten in non-dimensional form as follows:
$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}$
$=0$
$\lambda \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right) \quad=\quad\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Gr} \theta+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(u_{p}-u\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial z^{2}}\right)-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(v_{p}-v\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(v \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial z^{2}}\right)-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(w_{p}-w\right)$
$\lambda \operatorname{Pr} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Pr}\left(v \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}+w \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \theta}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Gr} \theta+\frac{2}{3} \frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(\theta_{p}-\theta\right)$
$\frac{\partial v_{p}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial z} \quad=0$
$\lambda \frac{\partial u_{p}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(v_{p} \frac{\partial u_{p}}{\partial y}+w_{p} \frac{\partial u_{p}}{\partial z}\right)=\frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(u-u_{p}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial v_{p}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(v_{p} \frac{\partial v_{p}}{\partial y}+w_{p} \frac{\partial v_{p}}{\partial z}\right)=\frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(v-v_{p}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(v_{p} \frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial y}+w_{p} \frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial z}\right)=\frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(w-w_{p}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial \theta_{p}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(v_{p} \frac{\partial \theta_{p}}{\partial y}+w_{p} \frac{\partial \theta_{p}}{\partial z}\right)=\frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(\theta-\theta_{p}\right)$
The corresponding boundary conditions are
$u=h \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} ; \quad v=-\mathrm{S}[1+\varepsilon \cos (\pi \mathrm{z}-\mathrm{t})] ; \quad w=h \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} ; \quad \theta=1$
$u_{p}=h \frac{\partial u_{p}}{\partial y} ; \quad v_{p}=-\mathrm{S}[1+\varepsilon \cos (\pi \mathrm{z}-\mathrm{t})] ; \quad w_{p}=h \frac{\partial w_{p}}{\partial y} ; \quad \theta_{p}=1 \quad$ at $\quad y=0$
$u=1 ; v=-1 ; \quad w=0 ; \theta=m$
$u_{p}=1 ; v_{p}=-1 ; \quad w_{p}=0 ; \theta_{p}=m \quad$ at $\quad y=1$
where $S=1$.

## 3. SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

When the amplitude of oscillation in the suction velocity is small $(\varepsilon \ll 1)$, we can assume $u, v, w, \theta, u_{p}, v_{p}$, $w_{p}, \theta_{p}$ and $p$ in the following form to solve the differential equations (15) - (24).

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
u(y, z, t) & = & u_{0}(y)+\varepsilon u_{1}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} u_{2}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
v(y, z, t) & = & v_{0}(y)+\varepsilon v_{1}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} v_{2}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
w(y, z, t) & = & w_{0}(y)+\varepsilon w_{1}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} w_{2}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
\theta(y, z, t) & = & \theta_{0}(y)+\varepsilon \theta_{1}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} \theta_{2}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
u_{p}(y, z, t) & = & u_{p_{0}}(y)+\varepsilon u_{p_{1}}(y, z, t)+\epsilon^{2} u_{p_{2}}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
v_{p}(y, z, t) & = & v_{p_{0}}(y)+\varepsilon v_{p_{1}}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} v_{p_{2}}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
w_{p}(y, z, t) & = & w_{p_{0}}(y)+\varepsilon w_{p_{1}}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} w_{p_{2}}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
\theta_{p}(y, z, t) & = & \theta_{p_{0}}(y)+\varepsilon \theta_{p_{1}}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} \theta_{p_{2}}(y, z, t)+\cdots \\
p(y, z, t) & = & p_{0}(y)+\varepsilon p_{1}(y, z, t)+\varepsilon^{2} p_{2}(y, z, t)+\cdots \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

When $\varepsilon=0$, the differential equations (15) - (24) pertaining to two dimensional flow are obtained as:
$v_{0}^{\prime}$
$u_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\operatorname{Re} v_{0} u_{0}^{\prime}+\operatorname{ReGr} \theta_{0}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(u_{p_{0}}-u_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
= & 0 \\
= & 0 \\
= & \frac{f}{\Lambda}\left(v_{p_{0}}-v_{0}\right) \\
= & 0 \\
= & 0 \tag{32}
\end{array}
$$

$$
w_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\operatorname{Re} v_{0} w_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(w_{p_{0}}-w_{0}\right)
$$

$$
\theta_{0}^{\prime \prime}-\operatorname{RePr} v_{0} \theta_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(\theta_{p_{0}}-\theta_{0}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} u_{p_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(u_{p_{0}}-u_{0}\right) \quad=\quad 0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p_{0}} \quad=\quad v_{0} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} w_{p_{0}}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(w_{p_{0}}-w_{0}\right) \quad=\quad 0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0} \theta_{p_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(\theta_{p_{0}}-\theta_{0}\right)=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to the boundary conditions
$u_{0}=h \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y} ; \quad v_{0}=-S ; \quad w_{0}=h \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial y} ; \quad \theta_{0}=1$
$u_{p_{0}}=h \frac{\partial u_{p_{0}}}{\partial y} ; \quad v_{p_{0}}=-\mathrm{S} ; \quad w_{p_{0}}=h \frac{\partial w_{p_{0}}}{\partial y} ; \quad \theta_{p_{0}}=1 \quad$ at $\quad y=0$
$\begin{array}{lrrrl}u_{0}=1 ; & v_{0}=-1 ; & w_{0}=0 ; & \theta_{0}=m & \\ u_{p_{0}}=1 ; & v_{p_{0}}=-1 ; & w_{p_{0}}=0 ; & \theta_{p_{0}}=m & \text { at } \quad y=1\end{array}$
The solutions for the equations (28), (30), (33) and (35) are
$\begin{array}{llll}v_{0} & = & v_{p_{0}} & = \\ p_{0}^{\prime} & = & 0\end{array}$
Substituting $S=1$ and equations (40) - (41) in equations (28) - (37) and rearranging as done in Govindarajan et al. [6], we get
$\begin{array}{llll}-\Lambda u_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+(1-\operatorname{Re} \Lambda) u_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\operatorname{Re}(1+f) u_{0}^{\prime}+\operatorname{ReGr} \theta_{0}-\Lambda \operatorname{ReGr} \theta_{0}^{\prime} & = & 0 \\ -\Lambda w_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+(1-\operatorname{Re} \Lambda) w_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\operatorname{Re}(1+f) w_{0}^{\prime} & = & 0 \\ -\Lambda \theta_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}+(1-\operatorname{Re} \Lambda) \theta_{0}^{\prime \prime}+ & \operatorname{Re}\left(\operatorname{Pr}+\frac{2}{3} f\right) \theta_{0}^{\prime} & = & 0 \\ -\Lambda u_{p_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+u_{p_{0}} & = & u_{0} & \\ -\Lambda w_{p_{0}^{\prime}}+w_{p_{0}} & = & w_{0} & \\ -\Lambda \theta_{p_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\theta_{p_{0}} & = & \theta_{0} & \end{array}$
The solution to the remaining equations are:
$\begin{array}{lll}w_{0} & = & w_{p_{0}}= \\ \theta_{0} & = & C_{1} e^{J_{1} y}+C_{2} e^{J_{2} y}+C_{3}\end{array}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\theta_{p_{0}}= & C_{0} e^{\frac{y}{\Lambda}}+\frac{C_{1}}{\left(1-\Lambda J_{1}\right)} e^{J_{1} y}+\frac{C_{2}}{\left(1-\Lambda J_{2}\right)} e^{J_{2} y}+C_{3} \\
u_{0}= & C_{4} e^{J_{3} y}+C_{5} e^{J_{4} y}+C_{6}+C_{7} e^{J_{1} y}+C_{8} e^{J_{2} y}+C_{9} y \\
u_{p_{0}}= & C_{10} e^{\frac{y}{\Lambda}}+\frac{C_{4}}{\left(1-\Lambda J_{3}\right)} e^{J_{3} y}+\frac{C_{5}}{\left(1-\Lambda J_{4}\right)} e^{J_{4} y}+C_{6}+\Lambda C_{9}+\frac{C_{7}}{\left(1-\Lambda J_{1}\right)} e^{J_{1} y} \\
& +\frac{C_{8}}{\left(1-\Lambda J_{2}\right)} e^{J_{2} y}+C_{9} y \tag{52}
\end{array}
$$

The unsteady state equations are:
$\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial z} \quad=0$
$\lambda \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-S \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}+v_{1} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial y}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\operatorname{ReGr} \theta_{1}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(u_{p_{1}}-u_{1}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-S \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial y}\right) \quad=\quad\left(\frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} v_{1}}{\partial z^{2}}\right)-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial y}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(v_{p_{1}}-v_{1}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-S \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial y}\right) \quad=\quad\left(\frac{\partial^{2} w_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{1}}{\partial z^{2}}\right)-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial z}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(w_{p_{1}}-w_{1}\right)$
$\lambda \operatorname{Pr} \frac{\partial \theta_{1}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{RePr}\left(-S \frac{\partial \theta_{1}}{\partial y}+v_{1} \frac{\partial \theta_{0}}{\partial y}\right)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{1}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \theta_{1}}{\partial z^{2}}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(\theta_{p_{1}}-\theta_{1}\right)$
$\frac{\partial v_{p_{1}}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial w_{p_{1}}}{\partial z}$
$=0$
$\lambda \frac{\partial u_{p_{1}}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-S \frac{\partial u_{p_{1}}}{\partial y}+v_{p_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{p_{0}}}{\partial y}\right) \quad=\quad \frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(u_{1}-u_{p_{1}}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial v_{p_{1}}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-S \frac{\partial v_{p_{1}}}{\partial y}\right) \quad=\frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(v_{1}-v_{p_{1}}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial w_{p_{1}}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-S \frac{\partial w_{p_{1}}}{\partial y}\right) \quad=\frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(w_{1}-w_{p_{1}}\right)$
$\lambda \frac{\partial \theta_{p_{1}}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{Re}\left(-S \frac{\partial \theta_{p_{1}}}{\partial y}+v_{p_{1}} \frac{\partial \theta_{p_{0}}}{\partial y}\right) \quad=\quad \frac{R e}{\Lambda}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{p_{1}}\right)$
The boundary conditions become
$u_{1}=h \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y} ; \quad v_{1}=-\mathrm{S}(\cos (\pi z-t)) ; \quad w_{1}=h \frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial y} ; \quad \theta_{1}=0$
$u_{p_{1}}=h \frac{\partial u_{p_{1}}}{\partial y} ; \quad v_{p_{1}}=-\mathrm{S}(\cos (\pi z-t)) ; \quad w_{p_{1}}=h \frac{\partial w_{p_{1}}}{\partial y} ; \quad \theta_{p_{1}}=0 \quad$ at $\quad y=0$
$u_{1}=v_{1}=w_{1}=\theta_{1}=u_{p_{1}}=v_{p_{1}}=w_{p_{1}}=\theta_{p_{1}}=0 \quad$ at $\quad y=1$
In order to solve these partial differential equations $u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}, \theta_{1}, u_{p_{1}}, v_{p_{1}}, w_{p_{1}}, \theta_{p_{1}}$ and $p_{1}$ are assumed to be of the following complex form:

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
u_{1}(y, z, t) & = & u_{11}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
v_{1}(y, z, t) & = & v_{11}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
w_{1}(y, z, t) & = & \frac{i}{\pi} v_{11}^{\prime}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
\theta_{1}(y, z, t) & = & \theta_{11}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
u_{p_{1}}(y, z, t) & = & u_{p_{11}}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
v_{p_{1}}(y, z, t) & = & v_{p_{11}}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
w_{p_{1}}(y, z, t) & = & \frac{i}{\pi} v_{p_{11}^{\prime}}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
\theta_{p_{1}}(y, z, t) & = & \theta_{p_{11}}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \\
p_{1}(y, z, t) & = & p_{11}(y) e^{i(\pi z-t)} \tag{65}
\end{array}
$$

Now using $S=1$ and (65) in equations (53) - (62) and rearranging as before, we get

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{11}^{\prime \prime}+\operatorname{Re}_{11}^{\prime}+\left(-\pi^{2}+i \lambda\right) u_{11}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(u_{p_{11}}-u_{11}\right) & = & -\operatorname{ReGr} \theta_{11}+\operatorname{Rev}_{11} u_{0}^{\prime} \\
v_{11}^{\prime \prime}+\operatorname{Rev}_{11}^{\prime}+\left(-\pi^{2}+i \lambda\right) v_{11}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(v_{p_{11}}-v_{11}\right) & = & \operatorname{Rep}_{11}^{\prime} \\
v_{11}^{\prime \prime}+\operatorname{Rev}_{11}^{\prime \prime}+\left(-\pi^{2}+i \lambda\right) v_{11}^{\prime}+\frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(v_{p_{11}^{\prime}}^{\prime}-v_{11}^{\prime}\right) & = & \pi^{2} \operatorname{Rep_{11}^{\prime }} \\
\theta_{11}^{\prime \prime}+\operatorname{Re} \theta_{11}^{\prime}+\left(-\pi^{2}+i \lambda \operatorname{Pr}\right) \theta_{11}+\frac{2}{3} \frac{f R e}{\Lambda}\left(\theta_{p_{11}}-\theta_{11}\right) & = & \operatorname{Rev}_{11} \theta_{0}^{\prime} \\
-\Lambda u_{p_{11}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\left(1-\frac{i \lambda \Lambda}{R e}\right) u_{p_{11}} & = & u_{11}-\Lambda v_{p_{11}} u_{p_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \\
 \tag{71}\\
-\Lambda v_{p_{11}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\left(1-\frac{i \lambda \Lambda}{R e}\right) v_{p_{11}} & = & v_{11}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
-\Lambda v_{p_{11}^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}+\left(1-\frac{i \lambda \Lambda}{R e}\right) v_{p_{11}}^{\prime} & = & v_{11}^{\prime} \\
-\Lambda \theta_{p_{11}^{\prime}}^{\prime}+\left(1-\frac{i \lambda \Lambda}{R e}\right) \theta_{p_{11}} & = & \theta_{11}-\Lambda v_{p_{11}} \theta_{p_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \tag{73}
\end{array}
$$

Boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
u_{11}=h \frac{\partial u_{11}}{\partial y} ; \quad v_{11}=-1 ; & w_{11}=h \frac{\partial w_{11}}{\partial y} ; \quad \theta_{11}=0 & & \\
u_{p_{11}}=h \frac{\partial u_{p_{11}}}{\partial y} ; v_{p_{11}}=-1 ; & w_{p_{11}}=h \frac{\partial w_{p_{11}}}{\partial y} ; \theta_{p_{11}}=0 & \text { at } & y=0 \\
u_{11}=v_{11}=w_{11}=\theta_{11}=u_{p_{11}}=v_{p_{11}}=w_{p_{11}}=\theta_{p_{11}}=0 & \text { at } & y=1 \tag{75}
\end{array}
$$

The solutions of the equations (66) - (73) subject to boundary conditions (74) - (75) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{11}=\quad A_{1} e^{\pi y}+A_{2} e^{-\pi y}  \tag{76}\\
& v_{11}=C_{13} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{14} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{15} e^{J_{10} y}+C_{11} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{12} e^{J_{7} y}  \tag{77}\\
& v_{p_{11}}=C_{16} e^{J_{11} y}+C_{17} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{18} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{19} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{20} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{21} e^{J_{10} y}  \tag{78}\\
& w_{11}=\frac{i}{\pi}\left[C_{13} J_{8} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{14} J_{9} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{15} J_{10} e^{J_{10} y}+C_{11} J_{6} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{12} J_{7} e^{J_{7} y}\right]  \tag{79}\\
& w_{p_{11}}=\frac{i}{\pi}\left[C_{16} J_{11} e^{J_{11} y}+C_{17} J_{6} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{18} J_{7} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{19} J_{8} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{20} J_{9} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{21} J_{10} e^{J_{10} y}\right]  \tag{80}\\
& \theta_{11} \quad=\quad C_{22} e^{J_{12} y}+C_{23} e^{J_{13} y}+C_{24} e^{J_{14} y}+\left(C_{25} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{26} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{27} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{28} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{29} e^{J_{10} y}+\right. \\
& \left.C_{30} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{1} y}+\left(C_{31} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{32} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{33} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{34} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{35} e^{J_{10} y}+C_{36} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{2} y}+ \\
& \left(C_{37} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{38} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{39} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{40} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{41} e^{J_{10} y}+C_{42} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{5} y}  \tag{81}\\
& \theta_{p_{11}}=\quad C_{43} e^{J_{11} y}+C_{44} e^{J_{12} y}+C_{45} e^{J_{13} y}+C_{46} e^{J_{14} y}+\left(C_{47} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{48} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{49} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{50} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{51} e^{J_{10} y}+\right. \\
& \left.C_{52} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{1} y}+\left(C_{53} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{54} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{55} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{56} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{57} e^{J_{10} y}+C_{58} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{2} y}+ \\
& \left(C_{59} e^{J_{6} y}+C_{60} e^{J_{7} y}+C_{61} e^{J_{8} y}+C_{62} e^{J_{9} y}+C_{63} e^{J_{10} y}+C_{64} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{5} y}  \tag{82}\\
& u_{11} \quad=\quad D_{1} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{2} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{3} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{4} e^{J_{12} y}+D_{5} e^{J_{13} y}+D_{6} e^{J_{14} y}+\left(D_{7} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{8} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{9} e^{J_{8} y}+\right. \\
& \left.D_{10} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{11} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{12} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{1} y}+\left(D_{13} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{14} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{15} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{16} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{17} e^{J_{10} y}+\right. \\
& \left.D_{18} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{2} y}+\left(D_{19} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{20} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{21} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{22} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{23} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{24} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{3} y}+ \\
& \left(D_{25} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{26} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{27} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{28} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{29} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{30} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{4} y}+\left(D_{31} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{32} e^{J_{7} y}+\right. \\
& \left.D_{33} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{34} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{35} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{36} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{5} y}+D_{37} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{38} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{39} y e^{J_{8} y}+D_{40} y e^{J_{9} y}+ \\
& D_{41} y e^{J_{10} y}+D_{42} e^{J_{11} y}  \tag{83}\\
& u_{p_{11}}=\quad D_{43} e^{J_{11} y}+D_{44} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{45} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{46} e^{J_{12} y}+D_{47} e^{J_{13} y}+D_{48} e^{J_{14} y}+\left(D_{49} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{50} e^{J_{7} y}+\right. \\
& \left.D_{51} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{52} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{53} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{54} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{1} y}+\left(D_{55} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{56} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{57} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{58} e^{J_{9} y}+\right. \\
& \left.D_{59} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{60} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{2} y}+\left(D_{61} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{62} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{63} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{64} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{65} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{66} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{3} y}+ \\
& \left(D_{67} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{68} e^{J_{7} y}+D_{69} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{70} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{71} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{72} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{4} y}+\left(D_{73} e^{J_{6} y}+D_{74} e^{J_{7} y}+\right. \\
& \left.D_{75} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{76} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{77} e^{J_{10} y}+D_{78} e^{J_{11} y}\right) e^{J_{5} y}+D_{79} e^{J_{11} y}+D_{80} y e^{J_{8} y}+D_{81} y e^{J_{9} y}+D_{82} y e^{J_{10} y}+ \\
& D_{83} e^{J_{8} y}+D_{84} e^{J_{9} y}+D_{85} e^{J_{10} y} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

## Skin Friction

The skin friction at the wall due to main flow is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{x}=\left(\frac{d u}{d y}\right)_{y=0} & =\left(\frac{d u_{0}}{d y}\right)_{y=0}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{d u_{11}}{d y}\right)_{y=0} e^{i(\pi z-t)}+\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& =\tau_{u_{0}}+\varepsilon R e_{x} \cos \left(\pi z-t+\phi_{x}\right) \tag{85}
\end{align*}
$$

The skin friction at the wall due to cross flow is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{z}=\quad\left(\frac{d w}{d y}\right)_{y=0} & = & \left(\frac{d w_{0}}{d y}\right)_{y=0}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{d w_{11}}{d y}\right)_{y=0} e^{i(\pi z-t)}+\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& = & \varepsilon R e_{z} \cos \left(\pi z-t+\phi_{z}\right) \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

## Nusselt Number

The rate of heat transfer from the plate can be calculated using the formula $q_{w}=-\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)_{y=0}$ and can be written in nondimensional form as Nusselt number:

$$
\begin{align*}
N u=-\left(\frac{d \theta}{d y}\right)_{y=0} & =-\left(\frac{d \theta_{0}}{d y}\right)_{y=0}-\varepsilon\left(\frac{d \theta_{11}}{d y}\right)_{y=0} e^{i(\pi z-t)}+\mathrm{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& =-\theta_{0}^{\prime}(0)+\varepsilon R e_{T} \cos \left(\pi z-t+\phi_{T}\right) \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The velocity and temperature profiles have been plotted in (Fig.2-24) to study the effect of different nondimensional parameters on the profiles. Furthermore, skin friction and Nusselt number have been tabulated (Table 2-11) for different values of non-dimensional parameters such as Grashof number (Gr), Reynolds number (Re), Prandtl number $(\operatorname{Pr})$, mass concentration parameter (f), relaxation time parameter ( $\Lambda$ ), frequency parameter ( $\lambda$ ), Slip parameter (h) and temperature parameter ( m ). The main flow velocity profile and temperature profile for fluid with very little particle mass concentration have been tabulated in Table1. The results are found to be in agreement with that of Guria and Jana [7].

Increasing the relaxation time parameter $(\Lambda)$ or decreasing the magnitude of Grashof number $(\mathrm{Gr})$ results in a decrease in the main velocity magnitude for both fluid and particle phase (Fig.2-5). For $\mathrm{Gr}>0$ and higher Reynolds number (Re), the magnitude of main fluid and particle velocity increases with increasing Re as expected (Fig.6-7). The magnitude of main velocity decreases with increasing value of temperature parameter ( m ) and decreasing value of slip parameter (h) for both phases and $\mathrm{Gr}>0$ (Fig.8-11).

Increasing the mass concentration parameter (f) results in a small increase in the magnitude of main velocity for fluid phase and the increase in magnitude decreases with increasing f for the particle phase (Fig.12-13). The cross flow velocity profile flattens with decreasing relaxation time parameter ( $\Lambda$ ) for both phases at higher values (Fig.14-15). Also, increase in slip parameter flattens the cross flow velocity profile (Fig.24). Variation of other parameters has little effect on the cross flow velocity profiles.

Increasing relaxation time parameter ( $\Lambda$ ) flattens the particle temperature profile but increases oscillations in the fluid temperature profile (Fig.16-17). Decreasing Pr flattens the oscillations in the temperature profiles for both fluid and particle phase (Fig.18-19). Increasing mass concentration parameter (f) flattens the temperature profiles, but to a smaller extent with increasing values (Fig.20-21). Increasing the temperature parameter (m) increases the oscillations in the fluid temperature profile (Fig.22-23).

The amplitude of the shear stress and the magnitude of tangent of phase shift due to main flow increases with the increasing magnitude of Grashof number (Gr) and the increasing magnitude of difference between the temperature parameter ( m ) and the temperature at the opposite end (Table 3). The amplitude of the shear stress due to both main flow and cross flow decreases with increasing slip parameter (h). The tangent of phase shift increases with increasing slip parameter (h) for cross flow but decreases with increasing slip parameter (h) for main flow (Table 5 and Table 7).

The amplitude of the shear stress due to cross flow increases with increasing frequency parameter ( $\lambda$ ) and relaxation time parameter $(\Lambda)$ (Table 6 ). The tangent of phase shift due to cross flow mostly increases with increasing relaxation time parameter $(\Lambda)$ but it decreases with increasing frequency parameter $(\lambda)$. There is no general relation between the dependence of the shear stress due to main flow on the other parameters. The shear stress is dependent on the interplay between these parameters and a general trend can only be obtained for a much localized domain (Table 2-4).

The amplitude of Nusselt number decreases with increasing mass concentration parameter (f) while it increases with increasing relaxation time parameter ( $\Lambda$ ). The tangent of the phase shift of Nusselt number follows the opposite trend with increasing f and $\Lambda$ (Table10). Both the amplitude and tangent of phase shift of Nusselt number increase with increasing Prandtl number (Pr) but decrease with increasing slip parameter (h) (Table 9 and Table 11).

The amplitude of Nusselt number increases with the increasing magnitude of difference between the temperature parameter ( m ) and the temperature at the opposite end but it does not affect its tangent of phase shift (Table 9). Similar to the shear stress, there is no clear trend of Nusselt number with respect to Reynolds number (Re) and frequency parameter ( $\lambda$ ). For small values of frequency parameter ( $\lambda$ ) and Reynolds number (Re), there is a phase lag (Table 8). For other cases, there is mostly a phase lead.

## 5. CONCLUSION

We have extended the work of Guria and Jana [7] to study the effect of dust particles in the fluid and slip parameter on the three-dimensional unsteady couette flow of viscous incompressible fluid between two horizontal porous flat plates. A periodic suction is applied to the stationary plate and a constant injection is applied to the uniformly moving plate. The conclusions of the study are:
$>$ Increasing the relaxation time parameter ( $\Lambda$ ) or decreasing the magnitude of Grashof number (Gr) results in a decrease in the main velocity magnitude for both fluid and particle phase.
$>$ The magnitude of main velocity decreases with increasing value of temperature parameter ( m ) and decreasing value of slip parameter (h) for both phases.
$>$ The amplitude of the shear stress and the magnitude of tangent of phase shift due to main flow increases with increasing magnitude of Grashof number (Gr) and increasing temperature difference between the two plates.
$>$ The cross flow velocity profile flattens with decreasing relaxation time parameter $(\Lambda)$ for both phases at higher values.
$>$ The amplitude of the shear stress due to cross flow increases with increasing frequency parameter ( $\lambda$ ) and relaxation time parameter ( $\Lambda$ ).
$>$ Decreasing Pr or increasing Re flattens the oscillations in the temperature profiles for both fluid and particle phase.
$>$ The amplitude of Nusselt number decreases with increasing mass concentration parameter (f) while it increases with increasing relaxation time parameter ( $\Lambda$ ).


Figure 2: Main particle velocity u vs y for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5$, $\operatorname{Pr}=2, m=1.5, h=0.5, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 4: Main velocity $u$ vs $y$ for $\lambda=5, \operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2$, $m=1.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 6: Main velocity $u$ vs $y$ for $\lambda=5, G r=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2$,
$m=1.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 3: Main particle velocity $u_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5$, $\operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2, m=1.5, h=0.5, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 5: Main particle velocity $u_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5$, $\operatorname{Pr}=2, m=1.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 7: Main particle velocity $u_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5, G r=2.5$, $\operatorname{Pr}=2, m=1.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 8: Main velocity $u$ vs y for $\lambda=5, \operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2$, $G r=2.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 10: Main velocity $u$ vs y for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5$, $\operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=2.5, m=2, f=0.2, \Lambda=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 12: Main velocity $u$ vs y for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5$,
$\operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=2.5, m=2, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 9: Main particle velocity $u$ vs $y$ for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5$, $\operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=2.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 11: Main particle velocity $u_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5$,
$\operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=2.5, m=2, f=0.2, \Lambda=0.2, z=0.0$, $t=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 13: Main particle velocity $u$ vs $y$ for $\lambda=5$,
$R e=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=2.5, m=2, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2$,

$$
z=0.0, t=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 14: Cross-flow velocity $w$ vs y for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5$, $\operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=10, m=1.5, h=0.5, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 16: Temperature $\theta$ vs y for $\lambda=5, \operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2$, $G r=10, m=1.5, h=0.5, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$,

$$
\varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 18: Temperature $\theta$ vs y for $\lambda=5, \operatorname{Re}=2.5, G r=1$, $m=1.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 15: Cross-flow velocity $w_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5$, $\operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=10, m=1.5, h=0.5, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 17: Particle temperature $\theta_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5$, $R e=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=10, m=1.5, h=0.5, f=0.2$,

$$
z=0.0, t=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 19: Particle temperature $\theta_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5$, $R e=2.5, G r=1, m=1.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0$, $t=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 20: Temperature $\theta$ vs y for $\lambda=5, \operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2$, $G r=2.5, m=2, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 22: Temperature $\theta$ vs y for $\lambda=5, R e=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2$, $G r=2.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0$, $\varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 21: Particle temperature $\theta_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5$, $R e=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=2.5, m=2, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2$,

$$
z=0.0, t=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05
$$



Figure 23: Particle temperature $\theta_{p}$ vs y for $\lambda=5$,
$\operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2, G r=2.5, h=0.5, \Lambda=0.2, f=0.2$, $z=0.0, t=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$


Figure 24: Cross-flow velocity $w$ vs y for $\lambda=5, \operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=2$,
$G r=2.5, m=2, f=0.2, \Lambda=0.2, z=0.0, t=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$

Table 1: Comparison of results of the main flow velocity $u$ with Guria and Jana (2006) for $\lambda=6, \mathrm{Gr}=0, \mathrm{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{~m}=1, \mathrm{~h}=0, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.2, \varepsilon=0.2$

| y | Guria and Jana (2006) |  |  | Present Work |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Re}=1.5$ | $\mathrm{Re}=2.0$ | $\mathrm{Re}=2.5$ | $\mathrm{Re}=1.5$ | $\mathrm{Re}=2.0$ | $\mathrm{Re}=2.5$ |
| 0 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 0.25 | 0.3841 | 0.4359 | 0.4877 | 0.3961 | 0.4462 | 0.4963 |
| 0.5 | 0.6660 | 0.7191 | 0.7670 | 0.6771 | 0.7197 | 0.7616 |
| 0.75 | 0.8640 | 0.8936 | 0.9189 | 0.8933 | 0.8990 | 0.9116 |
| 1.0 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Table 2: Shear stress due to main flow at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{~m}=2, \mathrm{~h}=0.5, \mathrm{f}=0.2$,
$\Lambda=0.2, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$

| $\operatorname{Re}$ |  | $R e_{x}$ |  | $\tan \phi_{x}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda=1$ | $\lambda=5$ | $\lambda=10$ | $\lambda=1$ | $\lambda=5$ | $\lambda=10$ |
| 2 | 3.1778 | 2.4314 | 6.8542 | -1.6375 | -1.0331 | -0.7992 |
| 3 | 8.2985 | 2.3730 | 2.6252 | -3.5349 | -0.2738 | -0.5452 |
| 4 | 2.3332 | 2.6268 | 2.5056 | 0.1015 | 0.1042 | 0.0259 |
| 5 | 1.5035 | 2.5209 | 2.7149 | 0.2807 | 0.3056 | 0.2331 |

Table 3: Shear stress due to main flow at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \lambda=5, \mathrm{~h}=0.5, \mathrm{f}=0.2$,

$$
\Lambda=0.2, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05
$$

| Gr |  | $R e_{x}$ |  | $\tan \phi_{x}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~m}=0$ | $\mathrm{~m}=1$ | $\mathrm{~m}=2$ | $\mathrm{~m}=0$ | $\mathrm{~m}=1$ | $\mathrm{~m}=2$ |
| -10 | 7.7709 | 1.5828 | 9.0747 | -0.13648 | -9.09245 | -0.5217 |
| -5 | 3.8386 | 0.7944 | 4.5781 | -0.13916 | -5.82967 | -0.51467 |
| 0 | 0.0959 | 0.0959 | 0.0959 | 0.076986 | 0.076986 | 0.076986 |
| 5 | 4.0261 | 0.7997 | 4.4160 | -0.12881 | 14.02991 | -0.54383 |
| 10 | 7.9585 | 1.5881 | 8.9126 | -0.13131 | 87.58858 | -0.53627 |

Table 4: Shear stress due to main flow at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\mathrm{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \lambda=5, \mathrm{~m}=2$,

$$
\mathrm{h}=0.5, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05
$$

| F |  | $R e_{x}$ |  | $\tan \phi_{x}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Lambda=0.1$ | $\Lambda=0.2$ | $\Lambda=0.3$ | $\Lambda=0.1$ | $\Lambda=0.2$ | $\Lambda=0.3$ |
| 0.2 | 1.2050 | 2.1679 | 5.1606 | 0.5183 | -0.5595 | 6.4725 |
| 0.4 | 0.9101 | 1.1652 | 2.2303 | 0.3784 | -0.1564 | 1.6247 |
| 0.6 | 0.7342 | 0.8979 | 2.5938 | 0.2685 | 0.0176 | 0.2532 |

Table 5: Shear stress due to main flow at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\mathrm{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \lambda=5, \mathrm{~m}=2, \mathrm{f}=0.2$,

| $\Lambda=0.2, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $R e_{x}$ | $\tan \phi_{x}$ |  |
| 0 | 10.4774 | 0.4314 |  |
| 1 | 1.2773 | -0.6834 |  |
| 2 | 0.6908 | -1.6827 |  |

Table 6: Shear stress due to cross flow at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\mathrm{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \mathrm{~m}=2$,
$\mathrm{h}=0.5, \mathrm{f}=0.2, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$

| $\Lambda$ |  | $R e_{z}$ |  |  | $\tan \phi_{z}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Lambda=0.1$ | $\Lambda=0.2$ | $\Lambda=0.3$ | $\Lambda=0.1$ | $\Lambda=0.2$ | $\Lambda=0.3$ |
| 1 | 0.2370 | 0.2980 | 0.5330 | -0.0714 | 0.5700 | 1.4634 |
| 5 | 1.1948 | 1.2739 | 1.4556 | -0.1876 | -0.1279 | 0.0396 |
| 10 | 2.4604 | 2.5861 | 2.8129 | -0.3766 | -0.4922 | -0.4343 |

Table 7: Shear stress due to cross flow at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \lambda=5, \mathrm{~m}=2$,

| $\mathrm{f}=0.2, \Lambda=0.2, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $\operatorname{Re}_{Z}$ | $\tan \phi_{z}$ |  |
| 0 | 10.0484 | -0.2211 |  |
| 1 | 0.6798 | -0.1217 |  |
| 2 | 0.3518 | -0.1183 |  |

Table 8: Nusselt number at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{~m}=2, \mathrm{~h}=0.5, \mathrm{f}=0.2, \Lambda=0.2$, $\mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$

| $\operatorname{Re}$ |  | $R e_{T}$ |  |  | $\tan \phi_{T}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda=1$ | $\lambda=5$ | $\lambda=10$ | $\lambda=1$ | $\lambda=5$ | $\lambda=10$ |
| 2 | 2.5207 | 4.3771 | 5.7800 | -0.8105 | 0.0364 | 0.3587 |
| 3 | 4.4035 | 3.9331 | 4.2636 | -0.2052 | 0.0802 | 0.2368 |
| 4 | 3.4002 | 3.7135 | 3.9340 | 0.0456 | 0.1109 | 0.1834 |
| 5 | 3.5436 | 3.7250 | 3.9066 | 0.0269 | 0.0949 | 0.1486 |

Table 9: Nusselt number at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\mathrm{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \lambda=5, \mathrm{f}=0.2, \Lambda=0.2$, $\mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$

| H |  | $R e_{T}$ |  |  | $\tan \phi_{T}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{~m}=0$ | $\mathrm{~m}=2$ | $\mathrm{~m}=3$ | $\mathrm{~m}=0$ | $\mathrm{~m}=2$ | $\mathrm{~m}=3$ |
| 0 | 6.6486 | 6.6486 | 13.2972 | 0.1812 | 0.1812 | 0.1812 |
| 1 | 3.9439 | 3.9439 | 7.8878 | 0.0322 | 0.0322 | 0.0322 |
| 2 | 3.8559 | 3.8559 | 7.7117 | 0.0210 | 0.0210 | 0.0210 |

Table 10: Nusselt number at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\operatorname{Re}=2.5, \operatorname{Pr}=0.72, \mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \lambda=5, \mathrm{~m}=2, \mathrm{~h}=0.5$, $\mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$

| $\mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| f |  | $R e_{T}$ |  |  | $\tan \phi_{T}$ |  |
|  | $\Lambda=0.1$ | $\Lambda=0.2$ | $\Lambda=0.3$ | $\Lambda=0.1$ | $\Lambda=0.2$ | $\Lambda=0.3$ |
| 0.2 | 0.1263 | 4.1053 | 10.2522 | 0.1623 | 0.0509 | -0.0210 |
| 0.4 | 0.0574 | 1.9914 | 5.8892 | 0.1695 | 0.0730 | 0.0653 |
| 0.6 | 0.0364 | 1.4098 | 4.9051 | 0.1477 | 0.0901 | 0.1912 |

Table 11: Nusselt number at $\mathrm{y}=0$ for $\mathrm{Re}=2.5, \mathrm{Gr}=2.5, \lambda=5, \mathrm{~m}=2, \mathrm{~h}=0.5, \mathrm{f}=0.2$,

| $\Lambda=0.2, \mathrm{z}=0.0, \mathrm{t}=0.0, \varepsilon=0.05$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Pr}$ | $R e_{T}$ | $\tan \phi_{T}$ |
| 0.72 | 4.1053 | 0.0509 |
| 2.0 | 4.5539 | 0.0681 |
| 7.0 | 7.3351 | 0.0900 |
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